

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,615		07/08/2003	Clemens Hendricus, M. Kocken	2183-6041US	8276	
24247	7590	10/02/2006		EXAMINER		
TRASK BRITT			·	GUIDRY, GUY L		
P.O. BOX 2 SALT LAK		UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	w on i,	01 01110		1636		
				DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
055 4 4' 0	10/615,615	KOCKEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Guy Guidry, Ph.D.	1636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	uly 2006.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-10,12,15-23,25-30,32,33,35-37,39,42 and 43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,15-23,25,26,32,33,35-37,39,42 and 43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-10 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the correct of the option of the correct of the option of the correct of the option of the	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the liderawing(s) is objected to by the liderawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/10/2006. S. Relect and Tradement Office.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/615,615 Page 2

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on10 July 2006 has been entered. Claims1-4, 6, 8-10,12,15-23, 25-30, 32, 33, 35-37, 39,42 and 43 are pending in this application. Claims 1-4,6,8-10 and 27-30 are under consideration in this action. All objections/rejections not repeated herein are hereby withdrawn. Where applicable a response to Applicant's arguments is set forth immediately following the body of the any objection/rejection set forth herein. This action is Non-Final.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims refer to "consisting of parts spanning from amino acid residue 25-442, 97-318, 97-442 and 97-545...as depicted in FIG. 1" where the number of resides in FIG> 1 can only refer to nucleic acid nucleotides, not amino acid residues. Removing the term "amino acid" from the claims would be remedial in this instance. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1636

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10 and 27-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Response to amendments

This rejection is directed to the amendment to claims 1 and 27, and therefore all dependent claims, wherein the encoding nucleic acid "consists" of a sequence as depicted in FIG. 1. The limitation "consists of" a specific sequence is not contemplated in the specification and was not previously considered in any previous claim, therefore the amendment represent inadmissible NEW MATTER. Applicant is directed to either explain why the matter should be entered or to amend the claims so that the new matter is deleted.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10 and 27-30 are rejected for claims to portions of the sequence of FIG. 1 and all sequence with at least 90% homology to said portions. The number of possible nucleotide sequences that are of a given % identity relative to a reference sequence, where all differences between the possible sequences and the reference sequence are substitutions, can be calculated by the following formula:

Application/Control Number: 10/615,615 Page 4

Art Unit: 1636

$$N = XL + X^{2}L(L-1)/2! + X^{3}L(L-1)(L-2)/3! + ... + X^{n-1}L(L-1)(L-2)...(L-(n-2))/(n-1)! + X^{n}L(L-1)(L-2)...(L-(n-1))/n!$$

where N is the number of possible sequences, X is the number of different residues that can be substituted for a residue in the reference sequence, L is the length of the reference sequence, n is the maximum number of residues that can be substituted relative to the reference sequence at a given % identity. For a nucleotide sequence, X is 3 (alternate nucleotides); for an amino acid sequence, X is 19 (alternate amino acids). The first term gives the number with one substitution, the second with two substitutions and so on to the number with n substitutions. The last term can be simplified for calculation to: Xⁿ L! / n! (L-n-1)!

Thus, for the shortest sequence cited, residues 97-318 of FIG. 1 containing 221 base pairs, with 3 alternate nucleotides and 22 (10% of 221 or 90 % identity) residues that can be substituted relative to the reference, the number of possible variants is

3²² 221 !/21 !(221-21-1) !., represents an exceptionally a large number of potential sequences for which the disclosure is silent. Determining which sequences have the recited functionality of having antigenicity of *Plasmodiun falciparum* apical membrane antigen-1 is well beyond the written description provided in the instant specification. Relevant characteristics which comprise the antigenicity of Plasmodiun falciparum are not disclosed, beyond the providing of sequence information which comprises the entire apical membrane antigen-1.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,615 Page 5

Art Unit: 1636

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10 and 27-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kocken (of record).

Response to amendments and arguments

Applicant argues that Kocken fails to teach expression of *P. falciparum* AMA-1 ectodomain or functional part thereof selected from the group consisting of parts spanning from residues 25-442, 97-318, 97-442 and 97-545. Applicant's arguments have been considered and they are not persuasive. The claims are given broadest possible interpretation. The Office interprets the claim limitations "nucleic acid encoding said exctodomain or functional part thereof selected from the group spanning..." to comprise the full ectodomain, thus Kocken teaches the full ectodomain and all parts thereof. Further, the limitation "wherein the encoding nucleic acid consists of a sequence that has 90 percent homology to the corresponding sequence as depicted in Fig. 1" is ill defined. It is not clear that "the corresponding sequence" is limited to those fragments that are recited in the claims. Furthermore, the 90% homology limitation allows for substantial insertions and deletions. Such sequences with insertion and/or deletions covered by the 90% homology limitation may be considered to be anticipated by the prior art.Page: 5

Art Unit: 1636

Therefore, claims 1-4, 6, 8-10 and 27-30 stand rejected as being anticipated by Kocken.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10 and 27-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kocken (of record) and in further view of Withers-Martinez (of record).

Response to amendments and arguments

Applicant argues that Kocken fails to teach expression of *P. falciparum* AMA-1 ectodomain or functional part thereof selected from the group consisting of parts spanning from residues 25-442, 97-318, 97-442 and 97-545. Applicant also argues that Withers-Martinez fail to teach an AMA-1 ectodomain in natural conformation and that Withers-Martinez teaches expression in insect cells rather than yeast as in the instant claims. Applicant also argues that no motivation exists to combine the two references because independent claims 1 and 27 require the ectodomain exhibit specificity for mAB 4G2 but Applicant alleges, the protein collected by Withers-Martinez is not in its natural conformation.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,615

Art Unit: 1636

Applicant's arguments have been considered and they are not persuasive. The claims are given broadest possible interpretation. The Office interprets the claim limitations "nucleic acid encoding said exctodomain or functional part thereof selected from the group spanning..." to comprise the full ectodomain, thus Kocken teaches the full ectodomain and all parts thereof. Further, the ectodomain described by Wither-Martinez is considered to contain, in at least a portion, AMA-1 ectodomain in native folded conformation, and further the protein collected from insect cells described by Withers-Martinez is correctly folded, making obvious the instant claims because the a person of skill would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Kocken and Withers-Martinez to derive a properly folded AMA-1 ectodomain, some of which would have been derived from expression in yeast cells. The Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing Applicant's product with the product of the prior art in order to establish that the product of the prior art does not possess the same material. structural and functional characteristics of the claimed product. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the burden is upon the applicant to prove that the claimed products are functionally different than those taught by the prior art and to establish patentable differences. See Ex parte Phillips, 28 USPQ 1302, 1303 (BPAI 1993), In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and Ex parte Gray, 10 USPQ2 d 1922, 1923 (BPAI 1989)Therefore, claims 1-4, 6, 8-10 and 27-30 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Kocken and Withers-Martinez.

Page 7

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guy Guidry, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-7928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) (https://pair-direct.uspto.gov) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the

Application/Control Number: 10/615,615

Art Unit: 1636

problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution

of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that

the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a

complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's

PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history

information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own

application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-

786-9199.

Guy Guidry, Ph.D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1636

DANIEL M. SULLIVAN

Page 9